CITY OF MARLBOROUGH MEETING POSTING

Meeting Name:	Conservation Commission
Date:	June 18, 2020 (Thursday)
Time:	7:00 PM
Location:	Will be conducted via remote participation

Participation will be via Virtual Means Only - Pursuant to Governor Baker's March 12, 2020 Order Suspending Certain Provisions of the Open Meeting Law, G.L. c. 30A, §18, and the Governor's March 15, 2020 Order imposing strict limitation on the number of people that may gather in one place, this meeting of the Marlborough Conservation Commission will be conducted via remote participation. The public may participate in this meeting via Remote Participation: A link to the website for the meeting will be provided on the City's website on the City public meeting Calendar and on the Conservation Commission website at least 48 hours prior to the meeting. To access the City web site go to: https://www.marlborough-ma.gov/ and choose calendar and click on the June 18, 2020 meeting date. Any questions please call: 508-460-3768.

Public Hearings:

7:00 PM	Request for Determination of Applicability 26 Flint Dr Paul Spitzer Proposes to add a patio and fire pit poyt to wetlands
7:10	Notice of Intent (Continuation) I-495 and I-290 - Mass Dept. of Transportation (MDOT) Propose to make improvements to the existing interchange at I-495 and I-290, in order to improve the traffic operation at I-495 southbound Exit 25B. Work is proposed next to wetlands.
7:20	Notice of Intent (212-1220) (Continuation) Rte. 20 reconstruction from Peters Ave. to Marlborough/Sudbury town line - MassDOT Proposes to reconstruct Rte 20 from Peters Ave. to the Marlborough/Sudbury town line. They will replace deteriorated pavement structures, widen the travel way to safe and acceptable lane and shoulder widths, adding new sidewalks and provide upgrades to the existing drainage system. Several sections of this work are within the buffer zone to wetlands.
7:30	Notice of Intent (212-1219) (Continuation) Farm Rd. (Map 73, Parcels 14 & 15A) - Michael Downey - The New England Center for Children, Inc. Proposes to construct a residential school building for the New England Center for Children with a footprint of 13,250 sq. ft. The proposal includes parking drainage and utilities. Some of the work is proposed within the buffer zone to a wetland (across the street from the old airport.)
7:40	Notice of Intent (Continuation) 447 Boston Post Rd. (known as Harrison Arms) - Wayside Apartments LLC Propose to make some modification to the existing parking lot, drainage and landscaping near wetlands.
7:50	Notice of Intent (212-1218) (Continuation) 339 Boston Post Rd. and adjoining parcels - James Driscoll, WP Marlborough MA Owner, LLC

Proposes to construct a 4 multi-family residential building, garages, a pool, associated parking lots, dog park and a community garden within buffer zone, Bordering Land Subject to Flooding, and/or Riverfront Area. (aka: MaGee Farm and more recently Heritage Farm, LLC)

8:00 Notice of Intents (3 separate filings as noted below) Hayes Memorial Dr. - The Gutierrez Company

- Lot K Construct a 29,540 <u>+</u> s.f. warehouse distribution center with associated parking, drainage, utilities and landscaping. Map 88, Parcel 1 and Map 88 Parcel 35. Work is proposed near wetlands
- Lot L Construct an 80,880 s.f. warehouse distribution center with associated parking, drainage, utilities and landscaping. Map 99, Parcel 1 and Map 88 Parcel 35. Work is proposed near wetlands. Lot L.
- Lot M Construct a 24,020 <u>+</u> s.f. warehouse distribution center with associated parking, drainage, utilities and landscaping. Assessors Map 88 Parcel 1 and Map 99, Lots 1 & 6. Work is proposed near wetlands

Certificates of Compliance

- 212-1207 95 Lakeshore Dr
- 212-1195 228 Littlefield Ln.

Draft Orders of Conditions

• 190 Sudbury St. (212-1221)

Violation Updates:

Correspondence/Other Business

• Letter from CSX Transportation, Inc. RE: 2020 Vegetation Management Railroad Right of Way

Discussion

• Ft. Meadow Lake Survey and Treatment Plan

Next Conservation Commission meetings – July 2^{nd} and July 23^{rd} , 2020

Adjournment





June 5, 2020

Ms. Judith Schmitz Massachusetts DEP – Central Region Circuit Rider 8 New Bond Street Worchester, Massachusetts 01606

Re: Boston Post Road East (Route 20), Marlborough (608467) DEP File #212-1220

Dear Ms. Schmitz:

Howard Stein Hudson (HSH) has reviewed the comments prepared by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP), dated May 20, 2020, and send via email for the Boston Post Road East (Route 20) resurfacing project in Marlborough, MA, and offers the following responses:

- **Comment 1:** Can the culverts conveying Broad Meadow Brook and/or the unnamed perennial stream be replaced with larger structures that will meet the Massachusetts Stream Crossing Standards to a greater extent, and provide greater infrastructure resiliency?
- **Response 1:** The Broad Meadow Brook culvert and the unnamed perennial stream culvert were inspected and are in good condition. A capacity analysis of the existing culverts was completed following the Federal Highway Administrations Hydraulic Design Series Number 5 "Hydraulic Design of Highway Culverts Third Edition". The hydraulic analysis was modeled using HY-8 program software. The culvert's hydraulic capacity was analyzed for the 50-year storm following the MassDOT LRFD Bridge Manual – Part 1 Chapter 1.34 "Hydraulic and Scour Design Flood Selection Guidelines". The results of the analysis confirmed that the culverts are hydraulically adequate to convey the 50-year storm. Calculations for Broad Meadow Brook are provided in Attachment A. The analysis of the unnamed perennial stream culvert is provided in a Hager Pond Culvert Analysis Technical Memo (Attachment B). Given the condition and the culverts ability to convey a 50year storm event MassDOT does not intend to replace the culverts.
- **Comment 2:** Peak flows increase for all design storms for the overall project, with significant increases proposed at Design Point 3 (Broad Meadow Brook). The applicant should verify that the proposed increases in peak flows will not cause damage or flooding to downstream properties or infrastructure.



Response 2: Two different calculations were used to determine if there would be any downstream damage or flooding caused by the proposed discharge at design point No.3.

- 1. Stream Stats was used to determine the 100-year flow entering the culvert (132 cfs). The HY-8 program was used to analyze the existing 100-year storm event on the downstream side (outlet) of the culvert to determine the depth of flow and elevation (276.50 ft.). The 100-year storm event flow from the discharge of design point No.3 (5 cfs) was added to the Stream Status flow to determine the stream elevation during a 100-year storm event (276.53 ft.). The addition of the 100-year storm from design point No.3 increased the stream elevation by 0.03 ft. The existing and proposed HY-8 culvert analysis results & Stream Stats flow data are provided in Attachment A.
- 2. Manning's equation for open channel flow was used to determine a stream elevation approximately 20 ft. downstream from the outlet of culvert. The cross-section was determined from the existing conditions survey. The Stream Stats flow for the 100-year storm event was used to determine the depth of the stream and elevation using the manning's equation for open channel flow. The elevation was determined to be 276.50 ft. The 100-year storm event flow from the discharge of design point No.3 was added to the Stream Stats flow to determine the stream depth and elevation (276.54 ft.). The addition of the 100-year storm from design point No.3 increased the stream elevation by 0.04 ft. The existing and proposed open flow calculations are provided in Attachment C. The 100-year flood elevation provided by FEMA flood map on the downstream side of the culvert is 276.4 ft.

A summary of the HY-8 and open flow channel calculations for the existing and proposed conditions are provided in Table 1.0. The two different calculations were used to determine if there would be any downstream damage or flooding caused by the proposed discharge at design point No.3. The increase flow from Design Point No.3 for a 100-year storm would raise the flood elevation by 0.04 ft., therefore it was determined that the increase of flow of 5 cfs will not have a negative impact on properties and infrastructure downstream of the culvert.

Event	Flow (cfs)	Open Channel flow (Manning Equation) Stream Elevation	HY-8 Stream Elevation	FEMA Flood Elevation
Existing 100 Year Storm	132	276.50	276.50	276.4
Proposed 100 Year Storm	137	276.54	276.53	276.4

Table 1.0 Broad Meadow Brook - Discharge Analysis

Comment 3: Where larger outfalls are proposed (Wetlands A, B, G) the applicant should verify that additional velocity from the outfalls won't cause erosion or scour.

- Response 3: The outfall pipe velocities at Wetlands A, B and G are lower than the MassDOT maximum allowable velocity of 10 ft./s. Stone at pipe ends are called out at Wetlands A and G and were designed according to the FHWA Hydraulic Engineering Circular #14. The outfall into Wetland G is discharging less flow compared to existing conditions therefore no stone was proposed. Given the outlet velocities, the HEC #14 design reference calls for a minimum Class 1 (5") riprap. The MassDOT standard item Stone at Pipe Ends requires stone to be not less than 50lb, not more than 125 lb., and 75% of the volume shall consists of stones not less than 75 lbs, which exceeds the FHWA requirements. Therefore the proposed riprap is adequate to prevent erosion at the outfalls.
- Comment 4: Beyond replacing existing catch basins, the project proposes no BMPs to reduce TSS and improve the water quality of discharges into Mowry Brook/Wetlands A&B (DP#2), Broadmeadow Brook/Wetlands F&G (DP#3), Wetland I (DP#10), and Hager Pond/Wetland G (DP#11).
- **Response 4:** During the design we looked at the potential for siting additional stormwater quality BMP's within these four catchment areas, but due to site constraints (i.e., limited right-of-way, existing utilities, ledge, high groundwater or unsuitable insitu soils), they were determined to be unsuitable for the installation of BMPs.
- Comment 5: In addition, a new discharge will convey runoff directly to Hager Pond with minimal treatment (25% TSS). The applicant cites the presence of high groundwater, subsurface concrete, and utilities as reasons why additional BMPs are not practicable. Can additional measures such as permeable pavement, tree box filters, proprietary separators, infiltration beyond the footprint of the concrete, etc.

be incorporated into the project design to improve the water quality of runoff into waterbodies and wetlands? The Stormwater Report only describes the treatment trains for the sub-catchments that contain infiltration structures and does not discuss how Stormwater Standard 4 is met at the outfalls to waterbodies and wetlands.

- **Response 5:** MassDOT does not use permeable pavements for roadway/sidewalk construction or the inclusion of tree box filters or proprietary separators. A redevelopment project is required to meet Stormwater Management Standard No.4 only to the maximum extent practicable.
- **Comment 6:** A portion of the project discharges to an Outstanding Resource Water associated with the Sudbury Reservoir, therefore Stormwater Standard 6 applies. Four waterbodies receiving stormwater discharges from the project have TMDLS (Hop Brook, Hager Pond, Sudbury Reservoir, & the Unnamed Perennial Tributary (Wetland H)), however BMPs are only proposed to reduce pollutants from a portion of the roadway that drains towards Hop Brook. Can pollutant reduction measures be incorporated into the project design for discharges to Hager Pond, the Sudbury Reservoir and the Unnamed Perennial Tributary?
- Response 6: MassDOT understands that source control and pollution prevention are particularly important for critical areas including Outstanding Resource Waters. As previously mentioned the design attempted to incorporate additional BMPs but were unable to do so due to site constraints (i.e., limited right-of-way, existing utilities, ledge, high groundwater or unsuitable in-situ soils).

In general, long term pollution prevention and related maintenance activities will be conducted consistent with MassDOT Highway Division's NPDES Stormwater MS4 Permit, and the measures outlined in MassDOT's Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP). For the facilities covered by this Operation and Maintenance Plan, long term pollution prevention includes the following measures:

<u>Litter Pick - up</u>

MassDOT will conduct litter pick - up from the stormwater management facilities in conjunction with routine road maintenance activities.

Routine Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater BMPs

MassDOT will conduct inspection and maintenance of the stormwater management practices in accordance with the guidelines discussed above.



Spill Prevention and Response

MassDOT will implement response procedures for releases of significant materials such as fuels, oils, or chemical materials onto the ground or other areas that could reasonably be expected to discharge to surface or groundwater pursuant to the requirements set forth in the Unified Response Manual (URM) for the Massachusetts Highway System, dated 2014, which provides a well-defined program for responding to emergency spill events with established responder responsibilities.

Applicable containment and cleanup procedures will be performed immediately. Impacted material collected during the response must be removed promptly and disposed of in accordance with Federal, State, and local requirements. A licensed emergency response contractor may be required to assist in cleanup of releases depending on the amount of the release and the ability of the responsible party to perform the required response including isolation and containment, where appropriate, in the event of an emergency spill or other unexpected event.

Reportable quantities will immediately be reported to the applicable Federal, State, and local agencies as required by law. The applicable MassDOT District office should also be notified.

Maintenance of Landscaped Areas

Routine mowing should be conducted according to standard MassDOT practices. MassDOT shall minimize use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides for the maintenance of facilities covered by this plan. Any use of fertilizers, herbicides, or pesticides shall be reviewed and approved by the applicable MassDOT District Environmental Engineer prior to application. Local Conservation Commission review may also be required.

Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

MassDOT's Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) prohibits illicit discharges to the stormwater management system. Illicit discharges are discharges that do not entirely consist of stormwater, except for certain specified non - stormwater discharges.

Pursuant to Standard 7, the project is considered a redevelopment. It will meet the pretreatment and structural best management practices of Standard 6 to the maximum extent practicable and improve upon existing conditions.



Comment 7: Modified Rock Fill Slopes are proposed along Broad Meadow Brook and Hager Pond. Are bioengineering methods available that can be used instead of rip rap to provide more natural slopes? Additional details should be provided for the proposed grass swale adjacent to Hager Pond.

Response 7: The improved revetment of the Hager Pond bank was included at the request of the Conservation Commission Agent and City of Marlborough DPW following our prefiling site walk where numerous erosion concerns were observed on the bank of Hager Pond. The work on the Hager Pond bank was added to the project as a water quality measure requested by the City. Further, the proposed Hager Pond bank revetment has been bio-engineered by the Landscape Architect for this project and will include a mulch and wetland seed surface treatment as well as the placement of up to 150 live cuttings. The contractor will be responsible for a minimum of 1 full growing season for all plantings associated with the project from the date of substantial project completion. MassDOT's Landscape Architect will inspect the work during this time period to verify survivability and that satisfactory growth has occurred. The proposed bioengineered slope is a tremendous improvement over existing conditions substantially addressing this comment. Refer to photograph of existing Hager Pond bank conditions and proposed Modified Rockfill Slope Detail at Hager Pond, respectively, provided below for further clarification.



Hager Pond Bank (March 2019)





Proposed Bioengineering Hager Pond Bank Treatment Detail (above)

For further information regarding the seeding and planting requirements referenced in the above detail see Attachment D – Special Provisions for Item 767.78 Composted Mulch over Modified Rockfill and Item 799.775 Live Cuttings.

Regarding the second part of this question, grass swales are not proposed adjacent to Hager Pond in this project.

- **Comment 8:** Seasonal high groundwater should be determined at all infiltration locations to confirm that the structures/basin won't intercept groundwater, which could affect the ability of the BMP to drain within 72 hours, reduce the volume available for peak flow, and/or result in inadequate treatment of stormwater prior contact with groundwater.
- **Response 8:** Boring were used to determine the seasonal high groundwater at all locations where infiltration structures are proposed. The boring logs are included in Appendix B of the Stormwater Report. The 72-hour draw calculations for each infiltration structure are provided in Appendix E Recharge and Water quality Calculations of the Stormwater report.



Comment 9: Additional requirements: Before the activity described in the Notice of Intent can commence, you must obtain a Water Quality Certification. Please complete a 401 Water Quality Certification application form (http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/service/approvals/brp-ww-10-11.html) and file it with this Regional Office for review. Review under Section 404 may be required. (Call 1-800-362-4367 for information).

Response 9: A 401 Water Quality Certification application and an individual permit application to the Army Corps of Engineers as set forth in the CWA Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines will be submitted and approved prior to the commencement of construction.

We have enclosed the supporting documents in the following attachments:

Attachment A – Existing & Proposed HY8 Analysis of culvert & Stream Stats flow data

Attachment B – Hager Pond Culvert Analysis Technical Memo

Attachment C - Existing & Proposed Open Channel Flow analysis

Attachment D – Special Provisions for Item 767.78 Composted Mulch over Modified Rockfill and Item 799.775 Live Cuttings

Please contact me at (508) 500-7041 or <u>styler@hshassoc.com</u> if you have any additional questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Steven J. Tyler, P.E. Associate | Senior Civil Engineer



Attachment A

Existing & Proposed HY8 Analysis of Culvert & Stream Stats Flow Data

HY-8 Culvert Analysis Report

Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: Specify Minimum, Design, and Maximum Flow Minimum Flow: 110 cfs Design Flow: 132 cfs Maximum Flow: 155 cfs

Headwater Elevation (ft)	Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert 1 Discharge (cfs)	Roadway Discharge (cfs)	Iterations
279.09	110.00	110.00	0.00	1
279.27	114.50	114.50	0.00	1
279.46	119.00	119.00	0.00	1
279.65	123.50	123.50	0.00	1
279.86	128.00	128.00	0.00	1
280.04	132.00	132.00	0.00	1
280.28	137.00	137.00	0.00	1
280.51	141.50	141.50	0.00	1
280.74	146.00	146.00	0.00	1
280.98	150.50	150.50	0.00	1
281.09	155.00	152.37	2.54	8
281.00	150.83	150.83	0.00	Overtopping

Table 1 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Broad Meadow Existing - 100 yr



Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Broad Meadow Existing - 100 yr

Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Headwater Elevation (ft)	Inlet Control Depth (ft)	Outlet Control Depth (ft)	Flow Type	Normal Depth (ft)	Critical Depth (ft)	Outlet Depth (ft)	Tailwater Depth (ft)	Outlet Velocity (ft/s)	Tailwater Velocity (ft/s)
110.00	110.00	279.09	4.488	3.622	5-S2n	1.717	2.468	1.959	2.273	11.232	5.070
114.50	114.50	279.27	4.670	3.780	5-S2n	1.768	2.535	2.018	2.318	11.346	5.125
119.00	119.00	279.46	4.858	3.942	5-S2n	1.818	2.601	2.077	2.363	11.459	5.178
123.50	123.50	279.65	5.054	4.109	5-S2n	1.867	2.666	2.135	2.407	11.570	5.229
128.00	128.00	279.86	5.256	4.280	5-S2n	1.917	2.730	2.192	2.450	11.679	5.279
132.00	132.00	280.04	5.442	4.436	5-S2n	1.960	2.787	2.242	2.487	11.775	5.322
137.00	137.00	280.28	5.683	4.637	5-S2n	2.014	2.857	2.305	2.532	11.889	5.375
141.50	141.50	280.51	5.908	4.822	5-S2n	2.062	2.919	2.362	2.573	11.984	5.421
146.00	146.00	280.74	6.141	5.012	5-S2n	2.110	2.981	2.418	2.612	12.078	5.466
150.50	150.50	280.98	6.382	5.186	5-S2n	2.158	3.000	2.473	2.651	12.169	5.509
155.00	152.37	281.09	6.484	5.255	5-S2n	2.178	3.000	2.495	2.690	12.214	5.552

Table 2 - Culvert Summary Table: Culvert 1

Straight Culvert

Inlet Elevation (invert): 274.60 ft, Outlet Elevation (invert): 274.00 ft Culvert Length: 62.00 ft, Culvert Slope: 0.0097

Culvert Performance Curve Plot: Culvert 1



Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Culvert 1



Crossing - Broad Meadow Existing - 100 yr, Design Discharge - 132.0 cfs Culvert - Culvert 1, Culvert Discharge - 132.0 cfs

Site Data - Culvert 1

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data Inlet Station: 0.00 ft Inlet Elevation: 274.60 ft Outlet Station: 62.00 ft Outlet Elevation: 274.00 ft Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - Culvert 1

Barrel Shape: Concrete Box Barrel Span: 5.00 ft Barrel Rise: 3.00 ft Barrel Material: Concrete Embedment: 0.00 in Barrel Manning's n: 0.0120 Culvert Type: Straight Inlet Configuration: Square Edge (90°) Headwall Inlet Depression: None

Flow (cfs)	Water Surface Elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Velocity (ft/s)	Shear (psf)	Froude Number
110.00	276.27	2.27	5.07	0.92	0.72
114.50	276.32	2.32	5.12	0.94	0.72
119.00	276.36	2.36	5.18	0.96	0.72
123.50	276.41	2.41	5.23	0.98	0.73
128.00	276.45	2.45	5.28	0.99	0.73
132.00	276.49	2.49	5.32	1.01	0.73
137.00	276.53	2.53	5.37	1.03	0.73
141.50	276.57	2.57	5.42	1.04	0.73
146.00	276.61	2.61	5.47	1.06	0.73
150.50	276.65	2.65	5.51	1.08	0.73
155.00	276.69	2.69	5.55	1.09	0.74

Table 3 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Broad Meadow Existing -

Tailwater Channel Data - Broad Meadow Existing - 100 yr

Tailwater Channel Option: Trapezoidal Channel Bottom Width: 5.00 ft Side Slope (H:V): 2.00 (_:1) Channel Slope: 0.0065 Channel Manning's n: 0.0300 Channel Invert Elevation: 274.00 ft

Roadway Data for Crossing: Broad Meadow Existing - 100 yr

Roadway Profile Shape: Constant Roadway Elevation Crest Length: 35.00 ft Crest Elevation: 281.00 ft Roadway Surface: Paved Roadway Top Width: 56.00 ft

HY-8 Culvert Analysis Report

Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: Specify Minimum, Design, and Maximum Flow Minimum Flow: 110 cfs Design Flow: 132 cfs Maximum Flow: 155 cfs

Broad Meadow Brook StreamStats Report

 Region ID:
 MA

 Workspace ID:
 MA20200513133615703000

 Clicked Point (Latitude, Longitude):
 42.34922, -71.51808

 Time:
 2020-05-13 09:36:34 -0400



Basin Characteristics

Parameter Code **Parameter Description** Value Unit DRNAREA Area that drains to a point on a stream 0.68 square miles ELEV Mean Basin Elevation 358 feet LC06STOR Percentage of water bodies and wetlands determined from the 8.26 percent NLCD 2006

Peak-Flow Statistics Parameters [Peak Statewide 2016 5156]

Parameter Code	Parameter Name	Value	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit

Parameter Code	Parameter Name	Value	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit
DRNAREA	Drainage Area	0.68	square miles	0.16	512
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	358	feet	80.6	1948
LC06STOR	Percent Storage from NLCD2006	8.26	percent	0	32.3

Peak-Flow Statistics Flow Report [Peak Statewide 2016 5156]

PII: Prediction Interval-Lower, Plu: Prediction Interval-Upper, SEp: Standard Error of Prediction, SE: Standard Error (other -- see report)

Statistic	Value	Unit	PII	Plu	SEp
2 Year Peak Flood	29.3	ft^3/s	14.9	57.8	42.3
5 Year Peak Flood	49.6	ft^3/s	24.8	99.2	43.4
10 Year Peak Flood	66	ft^3/s	32.2	135	44.7
25 Year Peak Flood	90.1	ft^3/s	42.4	191	47.1
50 Year Peak Flood	110	ft^3/s	50.2	242	49.4
100 Year Peak Flood	132	ft^3/s	58.2	299	51.8
200 Year Peak Flood	155	ft^3/s	66.5	363	54.1
500 Year Peak Flood	190	ft^3/s	77.4	464	57.6

Peak-Flow Statistics Citations

Zarriello, P.J.,2017, Magnitude of flood flows at selected annual exceedance probabilities for streams in Massachusetts: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2016-5156, 99 p. (https://dx.doi.org/10.3133/sir20165156)

USGS Data Disclaimer: Unless otherwise stated, all data, metadata and related materials are considered to satisfy the quality standards relative to the purpose for which the data were collected. Although these data and associated metadata have been reviewed for accuracy and completeness and approved for release by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), no warranty expressed or implied is made regarding the display or utility of the data for other purposes, nor on all computer systems, nor shall the act of distribution constitute any such warranty.

USGS Software Disclaimer: This software has been approved for release by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). Although the software has been subjected to rigorous review, the USGS reserves the right to update the software as needed pursuant to further analysis and review. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made by the USGS or the U.S. Government as to the functionality of the software and related material nor shall the fact of release constitute any such warranty. Furthermore, the software is released on condition that neither the USGS nor the U.S. Government shall be held liable for any damages resulting from its authorized or unauthorized use.

USGS Product Names Disclaimer: Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

/

Headwater Elevation (ft)	Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert 1 Discharge (cfs)	Roadway Discharge (cfs)	Iterations
279.09	110.00	110.00	0.00	1
279.27	114.50	114.50	0.00	1
279.46	119.00	119.00	0.00	1
279.65	123.50	123.50	0.00	1
279.86	128.00	128.00	0.00	1
280.04	132.00	132.00	0.00	1
280.28	137.00	137.00	0.00	1
280.51	141.50	141.50	0.00	1
280.74	146.00	146.00	0.00	1
280.98	150.50	150.50	0.00	1
281.09	155.00	152.37	2.54	8
281.00	150.83	150.83	0.00	Overtopping

Table 1 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Broad Meadow Existing - 100 yr



Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Broad Meadow Existing - 100 yr

Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Headwater Elevation (ft)	Inlet Control Depth (ft)	Outlet Control Depth (ft)	Flow Type	Normal Depth (ft)	Critical Depth (ft)	Outlet Depth (ft)	Tailwater Depth (ft)	Outlet Velocity (ft/s)	Tailwater Velocity (ft/s)
110.00	110.00	279.09	4.488	3.622	5-S2n	1.717	2.468	1.959	2.273	11.232	5.070
114.50	114.50	279.27	4.670	3.780	5-S2n	1.768	2.535	2.018	2.318	11.346	5.125
119.00	119.00	279.46	4.858	3.942	5-S2n	1.818	2.601	2.077	2.363	11.459	5.178
123.50	123.50	279.65	5.054	4.109	5-S2n	1.867	2.666	2.135	2.407	11.570	5.229
128.00	128.00	279.86	5.256	4.280	5-S2n	1.917	2.730	2.192	2.450	11.679	5.279
132.00	132.00	280.04	5.442	4.436	5-S2n	1.960	2.787	2.242	2.487	11.775	5.322
137.00	137.00	280.28	5.683	4.637	5-S2n	2.014	2.857	2.305	2.532	11.889	5.375
141.50	141.50	280.51	5.908	4.822	5-S2n	2.062	2.919	2.362	2.573	11.984	5.421
146.00	146.00	280.74	6.141	5.012	5-S2n	2.110	2.981	2.418	2.612	12.078	5.466
150.50	150.50	280.98	6.382	5.186	5-S2n	2.158	3.000	2.473	2.651	12.169	5.509
155.00	152.37	281.09	6.484	5.255	5-S2n	2.178	3.000	2.495	2.690	12.214	5.552

Table 2 - Culvert Summary Table: Culvert 1

Straight Culvert

Inlet Elevation (invert): 274.60 ft, Outlet Elevation (invert): 274.00 ft Culvert Length: 62.00 ft, Culvert Slope: 0.0097

Culvert Performance Curve Plot: Culvert 1



Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Culvert 1



Crossing - Broad Meadow Existing - 100 yr, Design Discharge - 132.0 cfs Culvert - Culvert 1, Culvert Discharge - 132.0 cfs

Site Data - Culvert 1

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data Inlet Station: 0.00 ft Inlet Elevation: 274.60 ft Outlet Station: 62.00 ft Outlet Elevation: 274.00 ft Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - Culvert 1

Barrel Shape: Concrete Box Barrel Span: 5.00 ft Barrel Rise: 3.00 ft Barrel Material: Concrete Embedment: 0.00 in Barrel Manning's n: 0.0120 Culvert Type: Straight Inlet Configuration: Square Edge (90°) Headwall Inlet Depression: None

Flow (cfs)	Water Surface Elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Velocity (ft/s)	Shear (psf)	Froude Number
110.00	276.27	2.27	5.07	0.92	0.72
114.50	276.32	2.32	5.12	0.94	0.72
119.00	276.36	2.36	5.18	0.96	0.72
123.50	276.41	2.41	5.23	0.98	0.73
128.00	276.45	2.45	5.28	0.99	0.73
132.00	276.49	2.49	5.32	1.01	0.73
137.00	276.53	2.53	5.37	1.03	0.73
141.50	276.57	2.57	5.42	1.04	0.73
146.00	276.61	2.61	5.47	1.06	0.73
150.50	276.65	2.65	5.51	1.08	0.73
155.00	276.69	2.69	5.55	1.09	0.74

Table 3 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Broad Meadow Existing -

Tailwater Channel Data - Broad Meadow Existing - 100 yr

Tailwater Channel Option: Trapezoidal Channel Bottom Width: 5.00 ft Side Slope (H:V): 2.00 (_:1) Channel Slope: 0.0065 Channel Manning's n: 0.0300 Channel Invert Elevation: 274.00 ft

Roadway Data for Crossing: Broad Meadow Existing - 100 yr

Roadway Profile Shape: Constant Roadway Elevation Crest Length: 35.00 ft Crest Elevation: 281.00 ft Roadway Surface: Paved Roadway Top Width: 56.00 ft



Attachment B

Hager Pond Culvert Analysis Technical Memo





TO:	Hanan Fouad, P.E.	DATE:	12/7/2017
FROM:	Matthew Jasmin, P.E.	MASSDOT PROJECT NO.:	608467
SUBJECT:	Hager Pond Culvert Analysis		

Summary

Howard Stein Hudson ("HSH") is pleased to submit this existing culvert analysis to the Massachusetts Department of Transportation ("MassDOT"). MassDOT is proposing roadway improvements along Boston Post Road (Route 20) in Marlborough, Massachusetts. Related project activities include roadway rehabilitation, safety improvements, and drainage system improvements. HSH was tasked with analyzing the capacity of the existing 4.5' x 4' culvert on Boston Post Road that discharges into Hager Pond. Please see Figure 1 for a USGS Map of the project area and Appendix 1 for photos of the existing culvert. Results of the analysis and descriptions of the design sources are provided in the following sections.

Project Description

EXISTING CULVERT

The existing Hager Pond Culvert is 4.5 feet (ft) wide by 4 ft high and 54.3 ft long. Due to limited survey data, the culvert inverts and slope had to be calculated from field tape measurements. The calculated slope of the culvert was 0.004 ft/ft. The culvert tailwater was determined from the existing survey data.

CROSSED WATERWAY

The total contributing area of the watershed is 677.29 Acres and the total impervious cover is 19.2% of the total site area (130.1 Acres). The watershed's hydrologic soil ratings vary from A, B C, and D throughout the watershed. The culverts approach channel has a shallow slope of 0.005 ft/ft before discharging into Hager Pond.

HIGHWAY CONVEYED

The crossing is located on Boston Post Road (Route 20) in Marlborough, Massachusetts. The road is classified as Urban Principal Arterial and has a current ADT of 22,160.

11 BEACON STREET, SUITE 1010 | BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02108 | 617.482.7080


LAND USES

Land uses in the project area include commercial, industrial, and residential.

Data Collection

DATA SOURCES AND APPLICATION

Table 1.Data Sources and Application

Data	Sources	Application		
Rainfall Data	NRCC Extreme Precipitation in New York and New England	Inputted rainfall data into a HydroCAD TR- 20 analysis to determine the watershed discharges.		
Soil Data	NRCS Soil Map Survey	Determined the underlying soil properties and hydrologic groups.		
Site Data	MassGIS Oliver, Field Survey	Inputted project data including topography and wetlands. Analyzed existing culvert and site data.		
Stream/Channel Features	USGS StreamStats, Field Survey	Determined the contributing watershed area and properties.		

Engineering Methods

HYDROLOGIC ANALYSES

The existing watershed hydrologic analyses was completed following the MassDOT LRFD Bridge Manual – Part 1 Chapter 1.3 "Hydraulic Study Procedure". The existing flow generated by the existing watershed was calculated with a TR-20 HydroCAD analysis.

The existing watershed was delineated using a combination of survey, GIS topography and StreamStats data. See Figure 2 for the existing watershed area. The total contributing area of the watershed is 677.29 Acres. The watershed was broken down by the surface conditions and the

underlying soil conditions. A weighted CN number for the watershed was determined to be 61. In addition, a Time of Concentration (Tc) of 240 minutes was calculated. Please see the existing watershed HydroCAD report for the 10, 50, and 100 year storm events in Appendix 2 and the discharge results summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Existing Watershed Discharge

Storm Event	Discharge (cfs)
10-Year Storm Event	126.39
50-Year Storm Event	310.38
100-Year Storm Event	433.99

HYDRAULIC ANALYSES

The existing culvert hydraulic analysis was completed following the Federal Highway Administrations Hydraulic Design Series Number 5 "Hydraulic Design of Highway Culverts Third Edition". The hydraulic analyses was modeled using HY-8. The culvert's hydraulic capacity was analyzed for the 50 year storm following the MassDOT LRFD Bridge Manual - Part 1 Chapter 1.34 "Hydraulic and Scour Design Flood Selection Guidelines".

For the hydraulic analysis, the existing watershed data, culvert data, tailwater data, and roadway data were inputted into HY-8. Please see Table 3 for the existing culvert data.

Table 3.Existing Culvert Data	
-------------------------------	--

Size (ft x ft)	Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Invert In Elevation (ft)	Invert Out Elevation (ft)	Tailwater Elevation (ft)	Roadway Elevation (ft)
4.5 W x 4.0 H	54.3	0.004	219.67	219.45	222.16	228.25

Following the FHWA Hydraulic Design of Highway Culverts, the allowable headwater for the culvert is 7.46' (See Appendix 3). The 50 year storm discharge of 310.38 cfs was inputted into HY-8 which resulted in a headwater of 9.38' at elevation 229.05'. This is unacceptable as it is above the allowable



headwater of 7.6' and 0.87' above the existing road elevation of 228.25. Please see Table 4 and Appendix 3 for a summary of the HY-8 Results.

Table 1	HV_8	50 waar	Storm	Analysis
<i>1 u 0 i e 4</i> .	П1-0	ou-year	Storm	Anaiysis

Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Headwater Elevation (ft)	Allowable Headwater Elevation (ft)	Inlet Control Depth (ft)	Allowable Headwater Depth (ft)
310.38	224.27	229.05	227.13	9.38	7.46

SCOUR ANALYSES

The existing culvert scour analysis was completed following the Federal Highway Administration's Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 14, Third Edition "Hydraulic Design of Energy Dissipators for Culverts and Channels". The scour analysis was modeled using HY-8.

The underlying soil at the culvert outlet is sandy loam. A typical material standard deviation, as provided in the FWHA design guide, was used in the HY-8 Scour Hole analysis. The analysis resulted in a scour hole 106.4 feet long, 54.3 feet wide, 8.1 deep, and with a volume of 12,826 ft³. This scour hole is overly large compared to the existing site constraints. Therefore scour countermeasures should be designed for the culvert. Please see the HY-8 Scour Hole Dimensions in Table 5.

Table 5.HY-8 Scour Hole Dimensions

Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Volume (ft³)
106.4	54.3	8.1	12,699

Conclusion

Based on the provided calculations, the existing culvert is not adequately sized for the 50 year storm event. Adjacent properties would be minimally effected by flooding due to the existing topography near Hager Pond. An additional HY-8 analysis was completed and determined that a 4' x 8' culvert with scour countermeasures would provide sufficient capacity for the 50 year storm event. (See Appendix 5)



Figure 1: **USGS Map**





HAGER POND CULVERT USGS QUAD

FIGUF	RE:	F	IGL	JRE	1		
DATE:			12/6/17				
JOB		2	01	361.	.32		
SCALE:			NTS				
REV:	0	E	:Y:	RJ	М		
DWG	REF		Ň,	/A			



Figure 2: Existing Watershed Area





20\CURRENT\DWG\CUTSHEETS\608467 DRAINAGE PRE - CULVERT.dwc

33

L:\13061\13061



Appendix 1: Photos



May 31, 2017

Re: Hager Pond Culvert Crossing Boston Post Road E (Route 20) Marlborough, MA



48"x48" Open Bottom Box Culvert (Upstream) – Condition observed to be adequate and structurally sound



 $Looking \ down \ box \ culvert \ upstream - from \ what \ could \ be \ seen, \ inside \ of \ culvert \ looks \ structurally \ sound$





Upstream box culvert – broken paved swale from Route 20



Approximately 18" built up sediment upstream side of box culvert, water level approximately 30" depth







Upstream box culvert - face of guardrail approximately 6' off face of culvert



 $Upstream\ box\ culvert-guardrail\ height\ approximately\ 22"$





Looking upstream from box culvert



 $Broken\ paved\ swale\ from\ Route\ 20-upstream\ box\ culvert$





Downstream culvert (Hager Pond outlet) – overgrown vegetation



Small crack in downstream headwall (approximately 18" in length)





Downstream sediment build up



Downstream box culvert (Hager Pond outlet)





Downstream box culvert (Hager Pond outlet)



South side Route 20 sediment build up to guard rail





Slope on south side of Route 20 along Hager Pond, east of culvert crossing



Looking west along Route 20 at culvert crossing outlet to Hager Pond





Slope on south side of Route 20 along Hager Pond, east of culvert crossing



Upstream sediment build up north side of Route 20





Eroded Slope on south side of Route 20 along Hager Pond, east of culvert crossing



Headwall at upstream (Northern) headwall



Appendix 2: HydroCAD Existing Watershed Area



Hager Pond - Existing Watershed-12-7-17 Prepared by {enter your company name here} HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 02930 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
20.435	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A (EX1)
1.803	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (EX1)
38.927	98	Paved parking, HSG A (EX1)
16.804	98	Paved parking, HSG B (EX1)
65.104	98	Paved parking, HSG C (EX1)
9.268	98	Paved parking, HSG D (EX1)
98.144	36	Woods, Fair, HSG A (EX1)
46.271	60	Woods, Fair, HSG B (EX1)
75.250	73	Woods, Fair, HSG C (EX1)
109.291	30	Woods, Good, HSG A (EX1)
81.626	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (EX1)
55.232	70	Woods, Good, HSG C (EX1)
59.135	77	Woods, Good, HSG D (EX1)
677.290	61	TOTAL AREA

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
266.797	HSG A	EX1
146.504	HSG B	EX1
195.586	HSG C	EX1
68.403	HSG D	EX1
0.000	Other	
677.290		TOTAL AREA

Prepared by {enter	your com	pany nam	e here	}	
HydroCAD® 10.00-20	s/n 02930	© 2017 Hyd	IroCAD	Software	Solutions L

Ground Covers (all nodes)

HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Subcatchment
 (acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	Cover	Numbers
20.435	1.803	0.000	0.000	0.000	22.238	>75% Grass cover, Good	EX1
38.927	16.804	65.104	9.268	0.000	130.103	Paved parking	EX1
98.144	46.271	75.250	0.000	0.000	219.665	Woods, Fair	EX1
109.291	81.626	55.232	59.135	0.000	305.284	Woods, Good	EX1
266.797	146.504	195.586	68.403	0.000	677.290	TOTAL AREA	

Hager Pond - Existing Watershed-12-7-17

Prepared by {enter	your com	pany name he	ere}
HydroCAD® 10.00-20	s/n 02930	© 2017 HydroC/	AD Software Solutions L

	Line#	Node	In-Invert	Out-Invert	Length	Slope	n	Diam/Width	Height	Inside-Fill
_		Number	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(ft/ft)		(inches)	(inches)	(inches)
	1	EX1	0.00	0.00	1,339.0	0.0050	0.015	18.0	0.0	0.0
	2	EX1	0.00	0.00	1,360.0	0.0050	0.015	18.0	0.0	0.0
	3	8P	219.67	219.40	54.3	0.0050	0.011	54.0	48.0	0.0

Pipe Listing (all nodes)

HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 02930 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Time span=0.00-30.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3001 points

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment EX1: Hager Pond Culvert Runoff Area=677.290 ac 19.21% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.17" Flow Length=11,602' Tc=240.0 min CN=61 Runoff=126.39 cfs 66.105 af

 Pond 8P: (new Pond)
 Peak Elev=224.60'
 Inflow=126.39 cfs
 66.105 af

 54.0" x 48.0"
 Box Culvert n=0.011
 L=54.3'
 S=0.0050 '/'
 Outflow=126.39 cfs
 66.105 af

Total Runoff Area = 677.290 ac Runoff Volume = 66.105 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.17" 80.79% Pervious = 547.187 ac 19.21% Impervious = 130.103 ac Prepared by {enter your company name here} HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 02930 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Summary for Subcatchment EX1: Hager Pond Culvert Watershed

Runoff = 126.39 cfs @ 15.73 hrs, Volume= 66.105 af, Depth> 1.17"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.67"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
3.887	98	Paved parking, HSG A
28.507	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
1.801	98	Paved parking, HSG B
13.211	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1.803	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
20.435	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
73.168	36	Woods, Fair, HSG A
27.324	60	Woods, Fair, HSG B
69.642	73	Woods, Fair, HSG C
53.003	77	Woods, Good, HSG D
7.228	98	Paved parking, HSG D
48.055	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
6.553	98	Paved parking, HSG B
47.183	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
6.434	98	Paved parking, HSG A
21.351	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
11.496	98	Paved parking, HSG A
27.622	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
14.874	98	Paved parking, HSG C
24.976	36	Woods, Fair, HSG A
18.947	60	Woods, Fair, HSG B
5.608	73	Woods, Fair, HSG C
15.080	98	Paved parking, HSG A
5.280	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
1.870	98	Paved parking, HSG B
0.620	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
46.160	98	Paved parking, HSG C
15.390	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
2.030	98	Paved parking, HSG A
6.970	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
6.580	98	Paved parking, HSG B
19.740	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
4.070	98	Paved parking, HSG C
12.220	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
2.040	98	Paved parking, HSG D
6.132	77	Woods, Good, HSG D
677.290	61	Weighted Average
547.187		80.79% Pervious Area
130.103		19.21% Impervious Area

Hager Pond - Existing Watershed-12-7-17TypePrepared by {enter your company name here}HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 02930 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.67" Printed 12/7/2017 Page 8

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
21.1	100	0.0800	0.08		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.31"
4.3	203	0.1000	0.79		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
10.0	204	0 0 0 0 0 0	0.40		Forest W/Heavy Litter KV= 2.5 tps
12.0	321	0.0200	0.42		Forest w/Heavy Litter Ky= 2.5 fps
31	205	0 0480	1 10		Shallow Concentrated Flow
0.1	200	0.0100	1.10		Woodland $Kv = 5.0 \text{ fps}$
1.4	123	0.0800	1.41		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
5.2	289	0.1380	0.93		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
70.8	1,590	0.0056	0.37		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
16.6	775	0 0020	0.70	27 24	Woodland KV= 5.0 fps
10.0	115	0.0030	0.70	57.51	$\Delta r_{0,0} = 18.0 \text{ sf Perim} = 28.0' \text{ r} = 1.71'$
					n=0.150 Very weedy reaches w/pools
6.1	1.339	0.0050	3.64	6.44	Pipe Channel, RCP Round 18"
	,				18.0" Round Area= 1.8 sf Perim= 4.7' r= 0.38'
					n= 0.015 Concrete sewer w/manholes & inlets
29.5	794	0.0010	0.45	21.54	Channel Flow,
					Area= 48.0 sf Perim= 28.0' r= 1.71'
0.0	4 000	0.0050	0.04	0.44	n= 0.150 Very weedy reaches w/pools
6.2	1,360	0.0050	3.64	6.44	Pipe Channel, RCP_Round 18"
					10.0 Roully Alea 1.0 Si Fellin 4.7 $1-0.30$
28.6	2 4 3 9	0 0100	1 4 2	68 11	Channel Flow
20.0	2,400	0.0100	1.74	00.11	Area= 48.0 sf Perim= 28.0' r= 1.71'
					n= 0.150 Very weedy reaches w/pools
34.3	2,064	0.0050	1.00	48.16	Channel Flow,
					Area= 48.0 sf Perim= 28.0' r= 1.71'
					n= 0.150 Very weedy reaches w/pools

240.0 11,602 Total



Subcatchment EX1: Hager Pond Culvert Watershed

Summary for Pond 8P: (new Pond)

[57] Hint: Peaked at 224.60' (Flood elevation advised)

Inflow Area =	677.290 ac, 19.21% Impervious, Inf	low Depth > 1.17" for 10-yr event
Inflow = 1	126.39 cfs @ 15.73 hrs, Volume=	66.105 af
Outflow = 1	126.39 cfs @ 15.73 hrs, Volume=	66.105 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min
Primary = 1	126.39 cfs @ 15.73 hrs, Volume=	66.105 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 224.60' @ 15.73 hrs

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	219.67'	54.0" W x 48.0" H Box Culvert L= 54.3' Box, headwall w/3 square edges, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 219.67' / 219.40' S= 0.0050 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 18.00 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=126.38 cfs @ 15.73 hrs HW=224.60' TW=222.16' (Fixed TW Elev= 222.16') ←1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 126.38 cfs @ 7.02 fps)



Pond 8P: (new Pond)

Hager Pond - Existing Watershed-12-7-17

Prepared by {enter your company name here} HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 02930 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.97" Printed 12/7/2017 LC Page 11

Time span=0.00-30.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3001 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment EX1: Hager Pond Culvert Runoff Area=677.290 ac 19.21% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.67" Flow Length=11,602' Tc=240.0 min CN=61 Runoff=310.38 cfs 150.836 af

Pond 8P: (new Pond) 54.0" x 48.0" Box Culvert n=0.011 L=54.3' S=0.0050 '/' Outflow=310.38 cfs 150.836 af

Total Runoff Area = 677.290 ac Runoff Volume = 150.836 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.67" 80.79% Pervious = 547.187 ac 19.21% Impervious = 130.103 ac

Summary for Subcatchment EX1: Hager Pond Culvert Watershed

Runoff = 310.38 cfs @ 15.21 hrs, Volume= 150.836 af, Depth> 2.67"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.97"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
3.887	98	Paved parking, HSG A
28.507	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
1.801	98	Paved parking, HSG B
13.211	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1.803	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
20.435	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
73.168	36	Woods, Fair, HSG A
27.324	60	Woods, Fair, HSG B
69.642	73	Woods, Fair, HSG C
53.003	77	Woods, Good, HSG D
7.228	98	Paved parking, HSG D
48.055	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
6.553	98	Paved parking, HSG B
47.183	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
6.434	98	Paved parking, HSG A
21.351	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
11.496	98	Paved parking, HSG A
27.622	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
14.874	98	Paved parking, HSG C
24.976	36	Woods, Fair, HSG A
18.947	60	Woods, Fair, HSG B
5.608	73	Woods, Fair, HSG C
15.080	98	Paved parking, HSG A
5.280	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
1.870	98	Paved parking, HSG B
0.620	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
46.160	98	Paved parking, HSG C
15.390	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
2.030	98	Paved parking, HSG A
6.970	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
6.580	98	Paved parking, HSG B
19.740	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
4.070	98	Paved parking, HSG C
12.220	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
2.040	98	Paved parking, HSG D
6.132	77	Woods, Good, HSG D
677.290	61	Weighted Average
547.187		80.79% Pervious Area
130.103		19.21% Impervious Area

Hager Pond - Existing Watershed-12-7-17TypePrepared by {enter your company name here}HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 02930 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.97" Printed 12/7/2017 Page 13

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
21.1	100	0.0800	0.08		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.31"
4.3	203	0.1000	0.79		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
10.0	204	0 0 0 0 0 0	0.40		Forest W/Heavy Litter KV= 2.5 tps
12.0	321	0.0200	0.42		Forest w/Heavy Litter Ky= 2.5 fps
31	205	0 0480	1 10		Shallow Concentrated Flow
0.1	200	0.0100	1.10		Woodland $Kv = 5.0 \text{ fps}$
1.4	123	0.0800	1.41		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
5.2	289	0.1380	0.93		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
70.8	1,590	0.0056	0.37		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
16.6	775	0 0020	0.70	27 24	Woodland KV= 5.0 fps
10.0	115	0.0030	0.70	57.51	$\Delta r_{0,0} = 18.0 \text{ sf Perim} = 28.0' \text{ r} = 1.71'$
					n=0.150 Very weedy reaches w/pools
6.1	1.339	0.0050	3.64	6.44	Pipe Channel, RCP Round 18"
	,				18.0" Round Area= 1.8 sf Perim= 4.7' r= 0.38'
					n= 0.015 Concrete sewer w/manholes & inlets
29.5	794	0.0010	0.45	21.54	Channel Flow,
					Area= 48.0 sf Perim= 28.0' r= 1.71'
0.0	4 000	0.0050	0.04	0.44	n= 0.150 Very weedy reaches w/pools
6.2	1,360	0.0050	3.64	6.44	Pipe Channel, RCP_Round 18"
					10.0 Roully Alea 1.0 Si Fellin 4.7 $1-0.30$
28.6	2 4 3 9	0 0100	1 4 2	68 11	Channel Flow
20.0	2,400	0.0100	1.74	00.11	Area= 48.0 sf Perim= 28.0' r= 1.71'
					n= 0.150 Very weedy reaches w/pools
34.3	2,064	0.0050	1.00	48.16	Channel Flow,
					Area= 48.0 sf Perim= 28.0' r= 1.71'
					n= 0.150 Very weedy reaches w/pools

240.0 11,602 Total



Subcatchment EX1: Hager Pond Culvert Watershed

Summary for Pond 8P: (new Pond)

[57] Hint: Peaked at 235.27' (Flood elevation advised)

Inflow Area	I =	677.290 ac,	19.21% Impe	ervious,	Inflow	Depth >	2.67"	for 50-	yr event
Inflow	=	310.38 cfs @	15.21 hrs,	Volume	=	150.836	af		
Outflow	=	310.38 cfs @	15.21 hrs,	Volume	=	150.836	af, At	ten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min
Primary	=	310.38 cfs @	15.21 hrs,	Volume	=	150.836	af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 235.27' @ 15.21 hrs

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	219.67'	54.0" W x 48.0" H Box Culvert L= 54.3' Box, headwall w/3 square edges, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 219.67' / 219.40' S= 0.0050 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 18.00 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=310.38 cfs @ 15.21 hrs HW=235.27' TW=222.16' (Fixed TW Elev= 222.16') ←1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 310.38 cfs @ 17.24 fps)



Pond 8P: (new Pond)

Hager Pond - Existing Watershed-12-7-17TypePrepared by {enter your company name here}HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 02930 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Time span=0.00-30.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 3001 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment EX1: Hager Pond Culvert Runoff Area=677.290 ac 19.21% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.66" Flow Length=11,602' Tc=240.0 min CN=61 Runoff=433.99 cfs 206.415 af

Pond 8P: (new Pond) 54.0" x 48.0" Box Culvert n=0.011 L=54.3' S=0.0050 '/' Outflow=433.99 cfs 206.415 af

Total Runoff Area = 677.290 ac Runoff Volume = 206.415 af Average Runoff Depth = 3.66" 80.79% Pervious = 547.187 ac 19.21% Impervious = 130.103 ac

Summary for Subcatchment EX1: Hager Pond Culvert Watershed

Runoff = 433.99 cfs @ 15.20 hrs, Volume= 206.415 af, Depth> 3.66"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=8.29"

Area (ac)	CN	Description
3.887	98	Paved parking, HSG A
28.507	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
1.801	98	Paved parking, HSG B
13.211	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
1.803	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
20.435	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
73.168	36	Woods, Fair, HSG A
27.324	60	Woods, Fair, HSG B
69.642	73	Woods, Fair, HSG C
53.003	77	Woods, Good, HSG D
7.228	98	Paved parking, HSG D
48.055	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
6.553	98	Paved parking, HSG B
47.183	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
6.434	98	Paved parking, HSG A
21.351	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
11.496	98	Paved parking, HSG A
27.622	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
14.874	98	Paved parking, HSG C
24.976	36	Woods, Fair, HSG A
18.947	60	Woods, Fair, HSG B
5.608	73	Woods, Fair, HSG C
15.080	98	Paved parking, HSG A
5.280	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
1.870	98	Paved parking, HSG B
0.620	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
46.160	98	Paved parking, HSG C
15.390	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
2.030	98	Paved parking, HSG A
6.970	30	Woods, Good, HSG A
6.580	98	Paved parking, HSG B
19.740	55	Woods, Good, HSG B
4.070	98	Paved parking, HSG C
12.220	70	Woods, Good, HSG C
2.040	98	Paved parking, HSG D
6.132	77	Woods, Good, HSG D
677.290	61	Weighted Average
547.187		80.79% Pervious Area
130.103		19.21% Impervious Area
Hager Pond - Existing Watershed-12-7-17TypePrepared by {enter your company name here}HydroCAD® 10.00-20 s/n 02930 © 2017 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=8.29" Printed 12/7/2017 Page 18

Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
21.1	100	0.0800	0.08		Sheet Flow,
					Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.31"
4.3	203	0.1000	0.79		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
12.8	321	0.0280	0.42		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
.	005				Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
3.1	205	0.0480	1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
	400	0 0000	4 4 4		Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
1.4	123	0.0800	1.41		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
F 0	200	0 1 2 0 0	0.02		woodland KV= 5.0 lps
5.Z	209	0.1300	0.95		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
70.8	1 500	0 0056	0 37		Shallow Concentrated Flow
70.0	1,590	0.0000	0.57		Woodland $K_{V} = 5.0$ fps
16.6	775	0.0030	0 78	37.31	Channel Flow
10.0	110	0.0000	0.10	07.01	Area = 48.0 sf Perim = $28.0' \text{ r}$ = $1.71'$
					n= 0.150 Very weedy reaches w/pools
6.1	1,339	0.0050	3.64	6.44	Pipe Channel, RCP Round 18"
	,				18.0" Round Area= 1.8 sf Perim= 4.7' r= 0.38'
					n= 0.015 Concrete sewer w/manholes & inlets
29.5	794	0.0010	0.45	21.54	Channel Flow,
					Area= 48.0 sf Perim= 28.0' r= 1.71'
					n= 0.150 Very weedy reaches w/pools
6.2	1,360	0.0050	3.64	6.44	Pipe Channel, RCP_Round 18"
					18.0" Round Area= 1.8 sf Perim= 4.7' r= 0.38'
					n= 0.015 Concrete sewer w/manholes & inlets
28.6	2,439	0.0100	1.42	68.11	Channel Flow,
					Area= 48.0 sf Perim= 28.0' r= 1.71'
04.0	0.004	0.0050	4.00	40.40	n= 0.150 Very weedy reaches w/pools
34.3	2,064	0.0050	1.00	48.16	Channel Flow, $4r_{0,0} = 4.74$
					Area = 40.0 sr Perim = 20.0° r = 1.71°
					n= 0.150 very weedy reaches w/pools

240.0 11,602 Total



Subcatchment EX1: Hager Pond Culvert Watershed

Summary for Pond 8P: (new Pond)

[57] Hint: Peaked at 247.52' (Flood elevation advised)

Inflow Area	a =	677.290 ac,	19.21% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	3.66" for	100-yr event
Inflow	=	433.99 cfs @	15.20 hrs, Volume	= 206.415	af	
Outflow	=	433.99 cfs @	15.20 hrs, Volume	= 206.415	af, Atten= 0	%, Lag= 0.0 min
Primary	=	433.99 cfs @	15.20 hrs, Volume	= 206.415	af	-

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 247.52' @ 15.20 hrs

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Primary	219.67'	54.0" W x 48.0" H Box Culvert L= 54.3' Box, headwall w/3 square edges, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 219.67' / 219.40' S= 0.0050 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 18.00 sf

Primary OutFlow Max=433.93 cfs @ 15.20 hrs HW=247.51' TW=222.16' (Fixed TW Elev= 222.16') ←1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 433.93 cfs @ 24.11 fps)



Pond 8P: (new Pond)



Appendix 3: HY-8 Culvert Report

HOWARD STEIN HUDSON

Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Culvert 1



Crossing - Marlborough Route 20 Crossing, Design Discharge - 310.4 cfs Culvert - Culvert 1, Culvert Discharge - 224.3 cfs

Site Data - Culvert 1

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data Inlet Station: 0.00 ft Inlet Elevation: 219.67 ft Outlet Station: 54.30 ft Outlet Elevation: 219.39 ft Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - Culvert 1

Barrel Shape: Concrete Box Barrel Span: 4.50 ft Barrel Rise: 4.00 ft Barrel Material: Concrete Embedment: 0.00 in Barrel Manning's n: 0.0120 Culvert Type: Straight Inlet Configuration: Square Edge (90°) Headwall Inlet Depression: None

Tailwater Channel Data - Marlborough Route 20 Crossing

Tailwater Channel Option: Enter Constant Tailwater Elevation Constant Tailwater Elevation: 222.16 ft

Roadway Data for Crossing: Marlborough Route 20 Crossing

Roadway Profile Shape: Constant Roadway Elevation Crest Length: 40.00 ft Crest Elevation: 228.25 ft Roadway Surface: Paved Roadway Top Width: 40.00 ft

HY-8 Culvert Analysis Report

Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: Specify Minimum, Design, and Maximum Flow Minimum Flow: 126.39 cfs Design Flow: 310.38 cfs Maximum Flow: 433.99 cfs

Headwater Elevation (ft)	Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert 1 Discharge (cfs)	Roadway Discharge (cfs)	Iterations
224.73	126.39	126.39	0.00	1
225.85	157.15	157.15	0.00	1
227.17	187.91	187.91	0.00	1
228.39	218.67	212.36	6.21	9
228.66	249.43	217.39	31.90	5
228.87	280.19	221.13	59.01	5
229.05	310.38	224.27	85.95	4
229.21	341.71	227.19	114.40	4
229.36	372.47	229.82	142.57	4
229.51	403.23	232.26	170.91	4
229.64	433.99	234.56	199.38	4
228.25	209.71	209.71	0.00	Overtopping

Table 1 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Marlborough Route 20 Crossing



Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Marlborough Route 20 Crossing

Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Headwater Elevation (ft)	Inlet Control Depth (ft)	Outlet Control Depth (ft)	Flow Type	Normal Depth (ft)	Critical Depth (ft)	Outlet Depth (ft)	Tailwater Depth (ft)	Outlet Velocity (ft/s)	Tailwater Velocity (ft/s)
126.39	126.39	224.73	5.063	3.934	5-S2n	2.668	2.904	2.759	2.490	10.179	0.000
157.15	157.15	225.85	6.176	5.423	5-S2n	3.160	3.358	3.254	2.490	10.732	0.000
187.91	187.91	227.17	7.496	6.506	5-S2n	3.641	3.783	3.641	2.490	11.469	0.000
218.67	212.36	228.39	8.720	7.416	6-FFc	4.000	4.000	223.670	2.490	11.798	0.000
249.43	217.39	228.66	8.992	7.593	6-FFc	4.000	4.000	223.670	2.490	12.077	0.000
280.19	221.13	228.87	9.199	7.728	6-FFc	4.000	4.000	223.670	2.490	12.285	0.000
310.38	224.27	229.05	9.375	7.842	6-FFc	4.000	4.000	223.670	2.490	12.459	0.000
341.71	227.19	229.21	9.542	7.950	6-FFc	4.000	4.000	223.670	2.490	12.622	0.000
372.47	229.82	229.36	9.694	8.049	6-FFc	4.000	4.000	223.670	2.490	12.768	0.000
403.23	232.26	229.51	9.837	8.141	6-FFc	4.000	4.000	223.670	2.490	12.903	0.000
433.99	234.56	229.64	9.973	8.229	6-FFc	4.000	4.000	223.670	2.490	13.031	0.000

Table 2 - Culvert Summary Table: Culvert 1

Culvert Performance Curve Plot: Culvert 1



ross	Flow (cfs)	Water Surface Elev (ft)	Depth (ft)
	126.39	222.16	2.49
	157.15	222.16	2.49
	187.91	222.16	2.49
	218.67	222.16	2.49
	249.43	222.16	2.49
	280.19	222.16	2.49
	310.38	222.16	2.49
	341.71	222.16	2.49
	372.47	222.16	2.49
	403.23	222.16	2.49
	433.99	222.16	2.49

 Table 3 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Marlborough Route 20

 Cross
 Flow (cfs)
 Water Surface Flev (ft)
 Denth (ft)

CHART 8B



BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS JAN. 1963



Appendix 4: HY-8 Scour Report

HY-8 Energy Dissipation Report

Scour Hole Geometry

Parameter	Value	Units
Select Culvert and Flow		
Crossing	Marlborough Route 20 Crossing	
Culvert	Culvert 1	
Flow	218.67	cfs
Culvert Data		
Culvert Width (including multiple barrels)	4.5	ft
Culvert Height	4.0	ft
Outlet Depth	223.67	ft
Outlet Velocity	11.80	ft/s
Froude Number	0.14	
Tailwater Depth	2.49	ft
Tailwater Velocity	0.00	ft/s
Tailwater Slope (SO)	0.0052	
Scour Data		
Time to Peak		
Note:	if Time to Peak is unknown, enter 30 min	
Time to Peak	900.00	min
Cohesion	Noncohesive	
D16 Value	0.50	mm
D84 Value	1.70	mm
Tailwater Flow Depth after Culvert	Normal Depth	
Results		
Assumptions		
Soil Sigma	1.84	
Scour Hole Dimensions		
Length	106.375	ft
Width	63.701	ft
Depth	7.840	ft
Volume	12699.672	ft^3
DS at .4(LS)	42.550	ft
Tailwater Depth (TW)	2.490	ft
Velocity with TW and WS	1.242	ft/s



Appendix 5: HY-8 4' x 8' Culvert

HOWARD STEIN HUDSON

Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Culvert 1



Crossing - Marlborough Route 20 Crossing, Design Discharge - 310.4 cfs

Site Data - Culvert 1

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data Inlet Station: 0.00 ft Inlet Elevation: 219.67 ft Outlet Station: 54.30 ft Outlet Elevation: 219.39 ft Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - Culvert 1

Barrel Shape: Concrete Box Barrel Span: 8.00 ft Barrel Rise: 4.00 ft Barrel Material: Concrete Embedment: 0.00 in Barrel Manning's n: 0.0120 Culvert Type: Straight Inlet Configuration: Square Edge (90°) Headwall Inlet Depression: None

HY-8 Culvert Analysis Report

Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: Specify Minimum, Design, and Maximum Flow Minimum Flow: 126.39 cfs Design Flow: 310.38 cfs Maximum Flow: 433.99 cfs

Headwater Elevation (ft)	Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert 1 Discharge (cfs)	Roadway Discharge (cfs)	Iterations
223.08	126.39	126.39	0.00	1
223.54	157.15	157.15	0.00	1
224.07	187.91	187.91	0.00	1
224.62	218.67	218.67	0.00	1
225.21	249.43	249.43	0.00	1
225.86	280.19	280.19	0.00	1
226.57	310.38	310.38	0.00	1
227.37	341.71	341.71	0.00	1
228.24	372.47	372.47	0.00	1
228.56	403.23	382.99	20.18	6
228.76	433.99	389.68	44.25	5
228.25	372.82	372.82	0.00	Overtopping

Table 1 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Marlborough Route 20 Crossing



Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Marlborough Route 20 Crossing

Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Headwater Elevation (ft)	Inlet Control Depth (ft)	Outlet Control Depth (ft)	Flow Type	Normal Depth (ft)	Critical Depth (ft)	Outlet Depth (ft)	Tailwater Depth (ft)	Outlet Velocity (ft/s)	Tailwater Velocity (ft/s)
126.39	126.39	223.08	3.338	3.408	1-S1t	1.573	1.979	2.770	2.490	5.704	0.000
157.15	157.15	223.54	3.868	3.110	1-JS1t	1.826	2.288	2.770	2.490	7.092	0.000
187.91	187.91	224.07	4.398	3.376	5-S2n	2.066	2.578	2.264	2.490	10.375	0.000
218.67	218.67	224.62	4.951	3.772	5-S2n	2.298	2.852	2.522	2.490	10.839	0.000
249.43	249.43	225.21	5.545	4.838	5-S2n	2.522	3.114	2.770	2.490	11.256	0.000
280.19	280.19	225.86	6.194	5.372	5-S2n	2.741	3.365	3.010	2.490	11.637	0.000
310.38	310.38	226.57	6.896	5.938	5-S2n	2.951	3.602	3.238	2.490	11.981	0.000
341.71	341.71	227.37	7.700	6.570	5-S2n	3.165	3.841	3.469	2.490	12.312	0.000
372.47	372.47	228.24	8.569	7.201	5-S2n	3.372	4.000	3.692	2.490	12.611	0.000
403.23	382.99	228.56	8.885	7.400	5-S2n	3.442	4.000	3.771	2.490	12.693	0.000
433.99	389.68	228.76	9.091	7.530	5-S2n	3.486	4.000	3.995	2.490	12.193	0.000

Table 2 - Culvert Summary Table: Culvert 1

Culvert Performance Curve Plot: Culvert 1



ross	Flow (cfs)	Water Surface Elev (ft)	Depth (ft)
	126.39	222.16	2.49
	157.15	222.16	2.49
	187.91	222.16	2.49
	218.67	222.16	2.49
	249.43	222.16	2.49
	280.19	222.16	2.49
	310.38	222.16	2.49
	341.71	222.16	2.49
	372.47	222.16	2.49
	403.23	222.16	2.49
	433.99	222.16	2.49

 Table 3 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Marlborough Route 20

 Cross
 Flow (cfs)
 Water Surface Flev (ft)
 Denth (ft)

Tailwater Channel Data - Marlborough Route 20 Crossing

Tailwater Channel Option: Enter Constant Tailwater Elevation Constant Tailwater Elevation: 222.16 ft

Roadway Data for Crossing: Marlborough Route 20 Crossing

Roadway Profile Shape: Constant Roadway Elevation Crest Length: 40.00 ft Crest Elevation: 228.25 ft Roadway Surface: Paved Roadway Top Width: 40.00 ft



Attachment C

Existing & Proposed Open Channel Flow Analysis



Target Q = 132 cfs

* target flow rate is based on the existing conditions 100 year storm.

$$Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2}$$
find A

$$A = (\frac{a+b}{2})y = = (\frac{15+5}{2})2.5 = 25$$
find P
P = b + 2d = 16.2
find R
R = A/P = 1.55
find Q

$$Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2}$$
133.4 cfs
2.5 ft Depth OK Assumption



Target Q = 137 cfs

* target flow rate is based on the proposed conditions 100 year storm.

$$Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2}$$
find A

$$A = (\frac{a+b}{2}) y = = (\frac{15+5}{2}) 2.5 = 25.6032$$
find P
P = b + 2d = 16.4
find R
R = A/P = 1.57
find Q

$$Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2}$$
137.8 cfs
2.54 ft Depth OK Assumption



Special Provisions for Item 767.78 Composted Mulch Over Modified Rockfill and Item 799.775 Live Cuttings



COMPOSTED MULCH OVER MODIFIED ROCK SQUARE YARD **ITEM 767.78**

GENERAL

The purpose of this item is to provide compost mulch for mixing with seed, to be placed on designated rock slopes in areas where establishment of vegetation in the rock slope is desired. This item shall conform to the requirements of Section 767 and 765 of the Standard Specifications and the following.

MATERIALS

Composted mulch

Composted Mulch shall be an aged organic substance meeting the requirements of M1.06.0 of the Supplemental Standard Specifications. No manure, bio-solids, kiln dried wood, or construction debris shall be allowed.

Organic matter content shall be between 20-100% (dry weight basis) as determined by ASTM D2974 (method A) Standard Test Methods for Moisture, Ash and Organic Matter of Peat and Other Organic Soils.

Moisture content shall be <15% by dry weight (<60% by wet weight) as measured by ASTM D2216 Standard Test Method for Laboratory Determination of Water Content of Soil and Rock and ASTM D2974 (cited above).

Particle size as measured by sieving shall be as follows:

Sieve Size	%Passing
2 in	100%
3⁄4 in	70-100%
#4	30-75%
#20	20-40%

Soluble salts shall be <5.0 mmhos/cm (dS/m). The pH shall be between 5.5 and 8.0.

Seed

Seed shall be a native mix as specified under the native seed Item 765.421 Seeding - Mid-Height Upland Mix – Part Shade.

CONSTRUCTION METHODS

Methods of installation shall be reviewed and approved by the Engineer prior to placement of material.

Placement of compost mulch shall be as shown on the plans and as directed by the Engineer. Compost mulch material shall be applied pneumatically. Material shall be placed so that settled



material is at or slightly below the surface plane of the stone. Contractor shall ensure that there will be adequate quantity, including adjustment for settlement.

Seeding shall be done at the same time as compost topsoil is being applied and shall be by broadcast method as specified under the seeding item and such that a very thin blanket of material covers the seed.

COMPENSATION

Compost Mulch for Modified Rock will be measured and paid for at the Contract unit price per Square Yard which price shall include all labor, materials, equipment, site preparation, and all incidental costs required to complete the work.

Seed shall be compensated at the bid price per the seeding Item 765.421 Seeding - Mid-Height Upland Mix – Part Shade.



ITEM 799.775

LIVE CUTTING

EACH

The work to be done under this Item shall conform to the relevant provisions of Section 771 supplemented with the following.

Live cuttings shall be used only if required for mitigation purposes along Hager Pond and other waterways. Locations shall be per the Engineer in coordination with the MassDOT Landscape Architect.

MATERIAL

A variety of plant species shall be used, depending on availability. Species shall be native and those most appropriate for conditions and for use as live cuttings. Species may include, but shall not be limited to: *Salix discolor* (Pussy Willow), *Salix nigra* (Black Willow), *Cornus sericea* (Redosier Dogwood), *Cornus amomum* (Silky Dogwood), *Viburnum dentatum* (Arrowwood viburnum), and *Sambucus canadensis* (Elderberry).

Live cuttings referred to herein shall be dormant stakes. The stakes shall be 3-4 feet or longer and a minimum of $\frac{1}{2}$ inch in diameter. Stakes must be alive, with side branches removed and with bark intact. Any leaves and branches on stakes shall be removed prior to planting to prevent the stake from drying out.

Stakes shall be planted only during dormancy, prior to any growth or blooms (typically no later than March). Stakes must be fresh (no more than one day old) and must be kept moist after they have been prepared into appropriate lengths. They must be kept in cool, moist and shaded conditions and shall be wetted daily until planted. Dried stakes shall be rejected.

Tubelings (rooted cuttings) may be used in place of dormant stakes when outside of the dormant period. Tubelings shall be 5-inch deep plug cells and shall have a minimum of 12 inches stem height.

INSTALLATION

Stakes shall be driven into the ground with a rubber mallet. Pilot holes must be made in harder soils prior to inserting the stakes. Stakes shall be planted at least one foot deep, preferable more, with at least 2 to 3 nodes in the ground.

Spacing shall be with species mixed and two to four stakes per square yard. If planted in rows, plants shall be placed 3-4 feet, depending on species.

If tubelings are used in lieu of stakes, they shall be planted per MassDOT standard planting specifications with spacing at 24-36 inches apart or as directed by the Landscape Architect or Wetland Specialist.

COMPENSATION

Measurement and payment shall be at the Contract unit price for each cutting or tubeling planted.



ITEM 804.3

3-INCH ELECTRICAL CONDUIT TYPE NM PLASTIC (UL)

FOOT

GENERAL

The work under this Item shall conform to the relevant provisions of Section 800 of the Standard Specifications and the following:

The work shall include the furnishing and installation of 3-inch non-metallic conduit for traffic signal and lighting systems in accordance with the plans and as directed by the Engineer. The conduit material shall be Schedule 80 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) plastic conduit. The conduit quanitity may be increased or decreased by the Engineer depending upon actual conditions encountered as provided for in Section 4.06 of the Standard Specifications.

Detectable warning tape shall be placed above conduit runs according to MassDOT's standard detail.

Conduit in Grass or in Planted Areas

Where new conduits are installed in grass and planted areas, no separate payment shall be made for the excavation, sand bedding, gravel backfill, including necessary compaction, or incidental materials, but all costs in connection therewith shall be included in the contract unit price for Item 804.3. Loam and seeding shall be measured and paid for under their respective items.

Conduit under Sidewalks, Medians, or Driveways

Where conduit is installed in a sidewalk, paved median or asphalt driveway areas, no separate payment shall be made for the saw-cutting, excavation, sand bedding, gravel backfill, including necessary compaction, or incidental materials, but all costs in connection therewith shall be included in the contract untit price for Item 804.3. Payment for cement concrete or asphalt pavement shall be paid for under the respective item.

Conduit Crossing Roadways

Trenched in existing bituminous concrete pavements not subject to full depth reconstruction shall be sawcut to an 18 inch width. The existing pavements shall be sawcut through their full depth and the pavement removed.

After conduit instaltion, the trench shall be backfilled with controlled density –fill (CDF). CDF shall be Type 2E and shall be specified in Section M4.08.0 of the Standard Specifications. The finished grade of the CDF shall be below existing pavement surface as shown on the construction details.

Where conduit crosses roadway, no separate payment shall be made for the saw-cutting of pavement, excavation, sand bedding, control density fill, or incidental materials, but all cost in connection therewith shall be included in the contract unit price for Item 804.3.

BASIS OF PAYMENT

Measurement for this item shall be by the foot installed, approved, and maintained in place. Payment shall be the bid price and shall be compensation for all labor equipment and materials necessary to complete the work specified above.

ζζ

1. GENERAL NOTES:

- EXISTING BOUNDARY LINES AND TOPOGRAPHY IS BASED SURVEY AND TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY BY CONNORSTONE, INC. NOVEMBER 2019. ELEVATIONS ARE BASED UPON NGVD 1988 DATUM. THE PARCEL IS SHOWN ON ASSESSORS MAP 73, PARCEL 14 & 15A.
- ы \dot{N}
- 3. EXISTING UTILITY LINES SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE FROM AVAILABLE INFORMATION AND ARE APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS. THE ENGINEER DOES NOT GUARANTEE THEIR ACCURACY OR THAT ALL UTILITIES AND SUBSURFACE STRUCTURES ARE SHOWN. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY SIZE, LOCATION AND INVERT ELEVATIONS OF THE UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES, AS REQUIRED PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCIES WITH RECORD DATA SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT DIG SAFE: 1–800–344–7233 (72 HOURS BEFORE DIGGING), AND TOWN DPW FOR UTILITY LOCATIONS PRIOR TO EXCAVATION. TEST PITS SHALL BE UTILIZED FOR UTILITY CONNECTIONS.

CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

- 1. ANY MINOR MODIFICATIONS (AS DETERMINED BY THE CITY ENGINEER) TO THE INFORMATION SHOWN ON THE APPROVED SITE PLANS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE CITY ENGINEER AS A MINOR PLAN REVISION FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO THE WORK BEING PERFORMED.
- WHERE AN EXISTING UTILITY IS FOUND TO CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED WORK, THE LOCATION, ELEVATION, AND SIZE OF THE UTILITY SHALL BE ACCURATELY DETERMINED WITHOUT DELAY BY THE CONTRACTOR AND THE INFORMATION FURNISHED TO THE ENGINEER FOR RESOLUTION OF THE CONFLICT.

73-8

73-9

akari Mari

- ς. ALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS OF THE MARLBOROUGH DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, OR THE LATEST EDITION OF THE MASSACHUSETTS HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT (MHD) CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS AND THE MHD "STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES", WHICHEVER IS MORE STRINGENT.
- THE WATER SYSTEM SHALL BE INSTALLED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE TOWN OF MARLBOROUGH DPW WATER DIVISION RULES AND REGULATIONS. CONNECTIONS SHALL BE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE PERMITS (TO BE OBTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR) WITH REQUIRED INSPECTIONS. FINAL LOCATION AND SIZE OF WATER SERVICES, FIRE DEPARTMENT SPRINKLER CONNECTIONS, AND SPRINKLER CONTROL ROOMS SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- Ģ
- THE SEWER SYSTEM SHALL BE INSTALLED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE TOWN OF MARLBOROUGH DPW SEWER DIVISION RULES AND REGULATIONS. CONNECTIONS SHALL BE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE PERMITS (TO BE OBTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR), WITH APPLICABLE INSPECTIONS. INVERTS AT THE PROPOSED BUILDING SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

85-7

85-4

73-11

- . O IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR, TO KEEP ACCURATE AS-BUILT MEASUREMENTS / RECORDS OF ALL UNDERGROUND OR CONCEALED WORK.
- .> THE LAYOUT AND INSTALLATION OF ELECTRIC, GAS, TELEPHONE AND CATV UTILITY CONNECTIONS AND SERVICES SHALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE ALL MEASURES AND MATERIALS NECESSARY TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF ALL PERSONS AND PROPERTIES AT THE SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXCAVATIONS SHALL CONFORM TO CURRENT OSHA STANDARDS.
- 9
- A STREET OPENING PERMIT SHALL BE OBTAINED FORM THE CITY OF MARLBOROUGH DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK IN THE PUBLIC WAY. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE HIS WORK WITH THE APPROPRIATE HIGHWAY & UTILITY DEPARTMENTS.
- 10. UTILITY CONSTRUCTION IN CITY WAYS REQUIRES BACKFILL WITH CONTROLLED DENSITY FILL.
- 11. ALL CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS, STOCKPILES, STUMPS, ETC. ON SITE SHALL BE STORED IN A MANNER TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY ENGINEER OR HIS DESIGNEE.
- 12. ALL SIGNS AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS SHALL CONFORM TO THE "MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC DEVICES" (MUTCD) AND THE OFFICE OF TRAFFIC OPERATIONS, FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION.
- 13. ALL RAMPS, CURB CUTS, SIDEWALKS, AND ACCESSIBLE SPACES SHALL COMPLY WITH THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT REGULATIONS AND WITH ARCHITECTURAL ACCESS BOARD REGULATIONS (521 CMR 1-47).
- 14. JOINTS BETWEEN PROPOSED BITUMINOUS CONCRETE PAVEMENT AND EXISTING PAVEMENT TO REMAIN SHALL BE SAWCUT AND SEALED WITH HOT POURED RUBBERIZED ASPHALT SEALER.

COORDINATION WITH PLANS BY OTHERS:

- 15. SEE SEPARATE PLANS PREPARED BY OTHERS FOR LANDSCAPING AND SIGNAGE.
- 16.
- COORDINATE PROPOSED UTILITY LOCATIONS, SIZES, ELEVATIONS, AND MATERIALS WITH ARCHITECTURAL PLANS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 17. ANY CONFLICT BETWEEN THESE PLANS AND PLANS BY OTHERS SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER AND OWNER IMMEDIATELY.

PROPOSED SITE PLAN LOTS 14 & 15A FARM ROAD MARLBOROUGH, MA OF

SITE

APPROVED PLAN REVIEW COMMITTEE



OCUS MAP: MAP 73, LOTS 14 & SCALE: 1 "=300" 15A FARM ROAD

SHEET INDEX:SHEET / DESCRIPTION1 / 6 COVER SHEET2 / 6 EXISTING CONDITIONS3 / 6 SITE PLAN4 / 6 EROSION CONTROL PLAN5-6 / 6 CONSTRUCTION DETAILS5-6 / 6 CONSTRUCTION DETAILS5-6 / 6 CONSTRUCTION DETAILS5-6 / 6 CONSTRUCTION DETAILS	LOT COVERACE TABULATION:LOTLOT AREA = 332,742± S.F. EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA = 0 (0%) PROPOSED INPERVIOUS AREA = 55,240 S.F. (BUILDING, DRIVEWAY, SIDEWALK) PROPOSED LOT COVERAGE = 16.6% ALLOWED LOT COVERAGE = 30%CIVIL EN 10 SI NORTHBO PHONE: 5C PHONE: 5C PHONE	PREPAR PREPAR VORNG: RESIDENCE A-2 LOT REQUIREMENTS REQUIRED AREA 18,000 s.f. FRONTAGE 120 FEET FRONTAGE 120 FEET SDE YARD 15 FEET SDE YARD 30 FEET SDE YARD 30 FEET SULDING HEIGHT 2 1/2 STORIES BUILDING HEIGHT 2 1/2 STORIES MAXMUM LOT COVERAGE 30%	
2020 SNOW STORAGE AREAS 2020 REAR FIRE LANE 2020 REAR FIRE LANE 2020 MassDEP COMMENTS 2020 UTILITY COORD. & C. COMM ITEMS 2020 DESCRIPTION: 2020 CHECK BY: VC 2020 CHECK BY: VC 2020 SHEET 1 OF 6.	ENGINEERING INC. L ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS 10 SOUTHWEST CUTOFF, SUITE 7 THBOROUGH, MASSACHUSETTS 01532 T: 508-393-9727 FAX: 508-393-5242 T: 508-393-9727 FAX: 508-393-5242 TE: 508-393-9727 FAX: 508-393-5242 THBOROUGH MASSACHUSETTS 01532 THBOROUGH MAAN MARLBOROUGH, MA MAP 73, LOTS 14 & 15A)	PARED FOR: ENGLAND CENTER FOR CHILDREN 33 TURNPIKE ROAD SOUTHBOROUGH, MA NER: NER: NER: NER: NER: NAP 73, PARCELS 14 & 154 CONNORSTONE	COMMONIA UNIT CONTRACTOR VITO No. 47635 STITISTIC



(JARE) = 30' = 3	SBDH Find SBH Find SH 270,08 (PLNN) NH = 270,09 (PLNN)	InterpretationDTH-2 (12-30-19) a_{P} SANDY LOAM 10YR3/2 $(-10^{"})$ Ap a_{P} SANDY LOAM 10YR3/2 $(-10^{"})$ Ap $SANDY LOAM10YR3/2a_{P}SANDY LOAM10YR5/6(-10^{"})ApSANDY LOAM10YR5/6a_{P}C1FINE SAND2.5Y5/4(-10^{"})ApSANDY LOAM10YR5/6a_{P}C1FINE SAND2.5Y5/6(-10^{"})ApSANDY LOAM10YR5/6a_{P}C1FINE SAND2.5Y5/6(-10^{"})Ap(-10^{"})a_{P}C2CRS. S&G2.5Y5/6(-10^{"})(-10^{"})Apa_{P}C1SANDY LOAM10YR5/6(-10^{"})(-10^{"})(-10^{"})a_{P}C1SANDY LOAM10YR5/6(-10^{"})(-10^{"})(-10^{"})a_{P}C1SANDY LOAM10YR5/6(-10^{"})(-10^{"})(-10^{"})a_{P}CRS. S&G2.5Y5/6(-10^{"})(-10^{"})(-10^{"})a_{P}NO REFUSALNO WATERNO REFUSALNO REFUSAL$
45 METERS 0 0 3 4 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	BBH Frid HDE HDE HDE HDE HDE HDE HDE HDE	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
CONNORSTONE ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS 10 SOUTHWEST CUTOFF, SUITE 7 NORTHBOROUCH, MASSACHUSETTS 01532 PHONE: 508-393-9727 FAX: 508-393-5242 CH DF ARLBOROUCH, MASSACHUSETTS 01532 PHONE: 508-393-9727 FAX: 508-393-5242 OF ARLBOROUCH, MASSACHUSETTS 01532 PHONE: 508-393-9727 FAX: 508-393-5242 OF ARLBOROUCH, MASSACHUSETTS 01532 MARLBOROUCH, MA (MAP 73, LOTS 14 & 15A) 6/16/2020 SNOW STORACE AREAS 6/15/2020 NassDEP COMMENTS 4/20/2020 UTILITY COORD. & C. COMM ITEMS DATE: EBRUARY 28, 2020 SCALE: 1"= 30' SHEET 2 OF 6.	PREPARED FOR: PREPARED FOR: PREPARED FOR: PREPARED SOUTHBOROUCH, MAN SOUTHBOROUCH, MAN PREPARED FOR: PREPARED FOR: PREP	DTH-5 (12-30-19)FILL $0-4$ "ApLOAMY SAND 2.5Y5/4 $0-4$ "ApCRS. S&G 2.5Y5/6 $4-42$ "C1ENNE SAND 2.5Y5/6 $42-144$ "C2CRS. S&G 2.5Y5/6 0 WATER, NO MOTTLESNO WATER, NO MOTTLES NO REFUSALNO REFUSAL








SITE PLAN FOR MULTI-FAMILY REDEVELOPMENT 447 BOSTON POST ROAD EAST MARLBOROUGH, MA.

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. EXISTING PROPERTY LINE AND UTILITY INFORMATION SHOWN IS BASED ON AN EXISTING SURVEY CONDUCTED BY MISTRY ASSOCIATES, INC. DATED FEBRUARY 5, 2020.
- 2. THE ACCURACY AND COMPLETENESS OF THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS ARE NOT GUARANTEED. IT SHALL BE THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION, SIZE, TYPE, ETC. OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES THAT MAY BE AFFECTED BY BY THE WORK. AT LEAST 72 HOURS BEFORE EXCAVATION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE REQUIRED TO CONTACT DIGSAFE AT 1-888-344-7233.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY CONDITIONS AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES TO THE ENGINEER.
- 4. WHERE AN EXISTING UTILITY IS FOUND TO CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED WORK, THE LOCATION, ELEVATION, AND SIZE OF THE UTILITY SHALL BE APPROPRIATELY DETERMINED WITHOUT DELAY BY THE CONTRACTOR AND THE INFORMATION FURNISHED TO THE ENGINEER FOR RESOLUTION
- 5. ALL UTILITY COMPANIES, PUBLIC AND PRIVATE, MUST BE NOTIFIED, INCLUDING THOSE IN CONTROL OF UTILITIES NOT SHOWN ON THIS PLAN, PRIOR TO EXCAVATING, BLASTING, INSTALLING, BACKFILLING, GRADING, PAVEMENT RESTORATION OR REPAVING.
- 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ALL EXISTING UTILITIES EXCEPT THOSE NOTED TO BE ABANDONED, REMOVED AND DISPOSED.
- 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DISPOSE OF ALL WASTE MATERIAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL REQUIREMENTS AT HIS/HER OWN EXPENSE, OUTSIDE OF THE PROJECT LIMITS.

SHEET INDEX

SHEET 1	COVER SHEET
SHEET 2	LOCUS PLAN
SHEET 3	EROSION, SEDIMENT CONTROL AND DEMOLITION PLAN
SHEET 4	LAYOUT AND MATERIALS PLAN
SHEET 5	GRADING, DRAINAGE AND UTILITY PLAN
SHEET 6	LANDSCAPING PLAN
SHEET 7	FIRE TRUCK TURN PLAN
SHEET 8	DETAIL SHEET 1 OF 2
SHEET 9	DETAIL SHEET 2 OF 2

PLANS ALSO INCLUDED: EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN

<u>SITE PLAN REVIE</u> REVIEW COMMITTEE S	<u>W COMMITTEE</u> SIGNATURE BLOCK
NAME	DATE



LOCUS MAP 1"=1,000'

PROJECT TEAM

DEVELOPER: TRUE NORTH CAPITAL PARTNERS 396 LINDSAY POND ROAD CONCORD, MA 01742

SURVEYOR: MISTRY ASSOCIATES, INC. 315 MAIN STREET READING, MA 01867

ENGINEER: HOWARD STEIN HUDSON 114 TURNPIKE ROAD, SUITE 2C CHELMSFORD, MA 01824

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT: JAMES K. EMMANUEL 22 CARLTON ROAD MARBLEHEAD, MA 01945

OWNER

WAYSIDE APARTMENTS, LLC. 369 LINDSAY POND ROAD CONCORD, MA 01742

ASSESSORS INFORMATION ASSESSORS MAP 73 LOT 23

REFERENCES

EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN BY MISTRY ASSOCIATES, INC. DATED FEBR

ZONING REQUIREMENTS

B – BUSINESS DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

		REQUIREMENT	PROPOSED	-	(EXISTING)
	MINIMUM LOT AREA	5,000 S.F.	U		(143,609
	MINIMUM LOT FRONTAGE	50 FT	U	_	(200'±)
	MINIMUM FRONT YARD	50 FT	U	-	(11.79')
	MINIMUM SIDE YARD	0* FT	U	-	(10.83')
	MINIMUM REAR YARD	0 FT	U	_	(10.10')
	MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT	52 FT	U	_	(29'±)
-	MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE	30%		\frown	
	EXISTING LOT COVERAGE	69.7% (100,163 SF	F / 143,609	SF)	
	PROPOSED LOT COVERAGE	71.3% (102,366 SF	F / 143,609	SF)	5
-	~~~~~				
	*BASED ON NOTE 3 PER MARLBO	ROUGH ZONING DIMEN	SIONAL TABLE		
	U=UNCHANGED BY APPLICATION				
		\sim			
	PARKING REQUIREMENTS	5	Ť Ť	1	
	109 DWELLING UNITS EXISTING; 1	09 DWELLING UNITS PR	ROPOSED)
	MULTIFAMILY DWELLING =	2 PER DWELLING UNIT	т	<	
	TOTAL PARKING REQUIRED =	109 * 2 = 218	SPACES		
	EXISTING PARKING ONSITE =	148 SPACES		<	
	REQUIRED ADDITIONAL SPACES =	218 - 148 = 70 S	PACES		
	TOTAL PROPOSED SPACES =	164 SPACES			2
	TOTAL COMPACT SPACES =	55 SPACES			

		REQUIREMENT	PROPUSED	_	(EXISTING)
	MINIMUM LOT AREA	5,000 S.F.	U		(143,609
	MINIMUM LOT FRONTAGE	50 FT	U	_	(200'±)
	MINIMUM FRONT YARD	50 FT	U	_	(11.79')
	MINIMUM SIDE YARD	0* FT	U	-	(10.83')
	MINIMUM REAR YARD	0 FT	U	_	(10.10')
	MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT	52 FT	U	-	(29'±)
-	MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE	30%			5
	EXISTING LOT COVERAGE	69.7% (100,163 SF /	/ 143,609 S	SF)	
	PROPOSED LOT COVERAGE	71.3% (102,366 SF /	/ 143,609 5	SF)	5
-				~	
	*BASED ON NOTE 3 PER MARLBO	ROUGH ZONING DIMENSIO	NAL TABLE		
_	U=UNCHANGED BY APPLICATION				
	PARKING REQUIREMENTS				
	109 DWELLING UNITS EXISTING; 10	9 DWELLING UNITS PROI	POSED)
	MULTIFAMILY DWELLING =	2 PER DWELLING UNIT		<	
	TOTAL PARKING REQUIRED =	109 * 2 = 218 SP	ACES		
	EXISTING PARKING ONSITE =	148 SPACES		<	
	REQUIRED ADDITIONAL SPACES =	218 - 148 = 70 SPA	CES		
	TOTAL PROPOSED SPACES =	164 SPACES			2
	TOTAL COMPACT SPACES =	55 SPACES			
	RATIO OF COMPACT SPACES =	55 / 164 = 0.34			1
	EXISTING HANDICAP SPACES =	0			
	REQUIRED HANDICAP SPACES =	6 (1 OF WHICH IS VAN	ACCESSIBLE))	
	PROPOSED HANDICAP SPACES =	6 (3 OF WHICH ARE VAI	N ACCESSIBL	.E)	5

DUE TO THE SITE LAYOUT AND TOPOGRAPHIC CONSTRAINTS IT IS NOT POINT. THERE IS A NET GAIN OF 17 SPACES ONSITE, AN IMPROVEMEN

SITE PLAN AND SPECIAL PERMITS REQUIRED PER MARLBOROUGH CODE 650-11 THROUGH 13 (ALSO KNOWN AS M NON-CONFORMING REGULATIONS OR REQUIREMENTS ARE EITHER REMA APART FROM LOT COVERAGE. THIS PROJECT REQUESTS A VARIANCE T

	HOWARD STEIN HUDSON 114 Turnpike Road, Suite 2C Chelmsford, MA 01824 www.hshassoc.com
	TRUE NORTH CAPITAL PARTNERS 396 LINDSAY POND ROAD CONCORD, MA 01742
RUARY 5, 2020.	MULTI-FAMILY RE-DEVELOPMENT 447 BOSTON POST ROAD EAST MARLBOROUGH, MA, 01752 MIDDLESEX COUNTY
- (EXISTING) - (143,609 SF) - (200' \pm) - (11.79') - (10.83') - (10.10') - (29' \pm) SF) SF)	REVISIONS: NO BY DATE DESCRIPTION 1 PB 6/9/20 REV. PARKING LAYOUT - - - - -
	KATIE L. ENRIGHT CIVIL No. 46111
E) BLE)	SITE PLAN
FEASIBLE TO INCREASE PARKING PAST THIS NT OVER THE EXISTING CONDITIONS. IARLBOROUGH ZONING ARTICLE IV) ALL AINING THE SAME AS EXISTING OR IMPROVING HROUGH THE ZBA.	COVER SHEET
	DATE: 05-13-2020
	PROJECT NUMBER: 19176
	DESIGNED BY: ND
	CHECKED BY: KF
	1

SHEET 1 OF 9







020 M:\19176\CURRENT\19176 - Site Plan.dw & Boole



20 M:\19176\CURRENT\19176 - Site Plan.dw(



20 M:\19176\CURRENT\19176 - Site Plan.dv Borde



020 M:\19176\CURRENT\19176 - Site Plan.c



020 M:\19176\CURRENT\19176 - Site Plan.dwg

SP-1 (2)-60" CMP Culvert Ex. Flow 5.92 CFS¹⁰² Prop. 0.50 92% REDUCTION

Multifamily Development 339 Boston Post Road Marlborough, Massachusetts

Flood Plain Storage and Volumes Summary

Site Runoff Volumes Reduced by ~32% (30,000 CF) from 94,000 CF to 64,000 CF

~14,000 CF Compensatory Flood Storage Provided On-Site ~7,000 CF provided eastern portion of site ~7,000 CF provided at wetland replication area

CITY (

NOTE: All Peak Flow values provided for 100-year storm event

SP-5 18" Clay Pipe Ex. Flow 3.7 CFS Prop Flow 3.1 CFS 16% Reduction

GRAPHIC SCALE

APPLICANT:

THE GUTIERREZ COMPANY 200 SUMMIT DRIVE, SUITE 400 BURLINGTON, MA 01803 781.272.7000

LAND SURVEYOR, SITE ENGINEER LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT:

Allen & Major Associates, Inc. 100 commerce way, suite 5 voburn, ma 01801 Tel.: 781.935.6889 Fax:: 781.935.2896

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT:

GODDARD CONSULTING, LLC 291 MAIN STREET, SUITE 8 NORTHBOROUGH, MA 01532 508.393.3784

PARCEL "L" DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR MAP 88, LOT 35 & MAP 99, LOT 1 HAYES MEMORIAL DRIVE MARLBOROUGH, MA

DRAWING TITLE CIVIL DRAWINGS EXSTING CONDITIONS KEY SHEET			
CIVIL DRAWINGS EXETING CONDITIONS KEY SHEET		ISSUED.	REVISED
DOSTING CONDITIONS KEY SHEET			
	101-7	06-05-2020	•
SOUTIONS CONDITIONS	V-103	06-05-2020	•
XISTING CONDITIONS	4-1 0	06-05-2020	•
XISTING CONDITIONS	V-106	06-05-2020	
BBREVATIONS AND NOTES	69 C	06-05-2020	•
BBREVATIONS AND NOTES	C-002	06-05-2020	
DCUS PLAN	800	06-05-2020	•
ROSION CONTROL PLAN	C-101	06-05-2020	•
AYOUT & MATERALS PLAN	0.1 <u>0</u>	06-05-2020	
RADING & DRAINAGE PLAN	0-103	06-05-2020	•
TILTTES PLAN	5 0	06-05-2020	•
RE TRUCK TURNING PLAN	C-105	06-05-2020	•
RUCK TURNING PLAN	8 0	06-05-2020	•
HOTOMETRICS PLAN	C-107	06-05-2020	•
VOW STORAGE PLAN	0-108 C-108	06-05-2020	•
ML DETAILS	C-501	06-05-2020	•
ML DETAILS	C-502	06-05-2020	•
ML DETAILS	C-503	06-05-2020	•
ML DETAILS	C.504	06-05-2020	•
ML DETAILS	C-505	06-05-2020	•
ML DETAILS	C-506	06-05-2020	
ANDSCAPE DRAWINGS			
ANDSCAPE PLAN	L-101	06-05-2020	•
NDSCAPE DETAILS	L-102	06-05-2020	•
RCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS			
ONCEPTUAL RENDERING	I	06-05-2020	•

ASSOCIATES, INC. civit surveyate evitoruteral endergraft - budgeper achiecture with the strategy and the strategy wonther way and th ALLEN & MAJOR BURN, MA + LAK <

> BEFORE YOU DIG CALL 811 OR CALL 811 OR 1-888-DIG-SAFE 1-888-344-7233 DIG SAFE

PROJECTS / 1145-10A / CIVIL DRAWINGS / CURRENT / LOT L/C-1145-10-L_EROSION CONTROLDWG

DIG SAFE

